Summary Economic and Environmental Impact of Recycling February 3, 2009

Summary

A Comparison - Disposal verses Recycling

- ➤ In 2007 Tennessee cities, counties and businesses disposed of 6,818,074 tons of solid waste paying average landfill disposal fees of approximately \$218,172,368. During the same period, 1,319,553 tons of materials were recycled in the "Top 4" (fiber/paper, plastic, glass, and metals) commodity groups saving landfill disposal fees of approximately of \$42,225,696.
- ➤ It is estimated that lost revenues of \$150,256,731 may have been realized had the "Top 4" commodities been recycled at today's current market rates instead of being disposed. This assumed a 75% recovery rate of landfilled commodity materials.
- At the height of last year's market, the recyclable materials identified would have generated \$612,992,634 in revenue. This revenue was not collected because these materials were landfilled.
- ➤ Tennessee solid waste management collection and disposal companies reported 7,584 existing jobs at an average annual wage of \$36,115.
- ➤ Tennessee recycling/manufacturing sectors using the "Top 4" materials reported 77,100 jobs with an average wage of \$45,550.
- ➤ In Tennessee, the waste industry reported \$1,078,298,000 in sales in 2002 compared to \$5,339,700,000 in manufacturing output in the "Top 4" commodity sectors.
- ➤ TDEC estimates \$11,000,000 is needed to allow counties and municipalities to install and upgrade current material recovery facilities and collection systems and to educate the regulated community.
- ➤ Recycling results in a significant benefit in reducing greenhouse gases and saving energy.

SPECIFICS

I. In 2007 Tennessee cities, counties and businesses disposed of 6,818,074 tons of solid waste paying average landfill disposal fees of approximately \$218,172,368. During the same period, 1,319,553 tons of materials were recycled in the "Top 4" (fiber/paper, plastic, glass, and metals) commodity groups saving landfill disposal fees of approximately of \$42,225,696.

		Cost or cost		Lost revenue	Lost revenue
Category		avoidance of	Estimated	based on	based on July
In 2007		landfilling	revenue	current market	2008 market
(Most	Tons	commodities at	from sales	conditions of	conditions of
current		average gate fee	of	landfilled	landfilled
information)		charge of	recyclables	materials	materials
		\$32/ton		(Worst Case)	(Best Case)
Disposal	6,818,074	\$218,172,368	\$0 ¹	See Gate Fee	See Gate Fee
Recycled	1,319,553	\$42,225,696	\$39,697,162	\$150,256,731	\$612,992,634

- II. It is estimated that lost revenues of \$150,256,731 may have been realized had the "Top 4" commodities been recycled at today's current market rates instead of being disposed. This assumed a 75% recovery rate of landfilled commodity materials.
- III. At the height of last year's market, the recyclable materials identified would have generated \$612,992,634 in revenue. This revenue was not collected because these materials were landfilled.

Commodity	January 2009	January 2009	July 2008	July 2008	Projected
Group	Market	Rates ²	Market	Rates ³	Cost
					Avoidance
Fiber/paper	\$39,578,910	\$20/ton	\$197,894,550	\$100/ton	\$84,435,022
Plastics	\$80,794,200	\$0.05/pound	\$ 323,176,800	\$0.20/pound	\$34,472,180
Glass	\$2,270,421	\$12/ton	\$9,081,684	\$36/ton	\$8,072,599
Metals	\$27,613,200	\$100/ton	\$82,839,600	\$300/ton	\$11,781,631
TOTAL:	\$150,256,731		\$612,992,634		\$138,761,432

IV. Tennessee solid waste management collection and disposal companies reported 7,584 existing jobs at an average annual wage of \$36,115.⁴

Sector by sector that uses	Existing	Average	Annual Sales
recycling materials	Jobs	Wage/Employee	
		(Salary)	
Landfill sector	885	\$34,440	\$247,997,000
MRF	204	\$23,799	\$20,664,000
All solid waste collection,	7,584	\$36,115	\$1,078,298,000
remediation, and disposal			
service industries combined			

¹ Unable to track down estimated revenues from landfill gas projects. This should be considered a benefit though.

² Provided by RMCT

³ Provided by RMCT

⁴ 2002 US Census statistics for Tennessee for waste industries

- V. Tennessee recycling/manufacturing sectors using the "Top 4" materials reported 77,100 jobs with an average wage of \$45,550.⁵
- VI. In Tennessee, the waste industry reported \$1,078,298,000 in sales in 2002 compared to \$5,339,700,000 in manufacturing output in the "Top 4" commodity sectors.

Sector by sector that uses recyclable materials	Existing Jobs	Average Wage/Employee	Manufacturing Output
		(Salary)	1
Fiber/paper	19,100	\$57,800	\$548,700,000
Plastics	29,400	\$39,200	\$2,243,000,000
Glass	16,600	\$36,600	\$1,191,000,000
Metals	12,000	\$48,600	\$1,357,000,000
TOTAL ALL SECTORS:	77,100	\$45,550	\$5,339,700,000

VII. TDEC estimates \$11,000,000 is needed to allow counties and municipalities to install and upgrade current material recovery facilities and collection systems and to educate the regulated community.⁶

Need	Number Expected	Cost	Extended Cost
Regional Material	10	\$500,000	\$5,000,000
Recovery Facilities			
(MRF)			
County Collection	95	\$10,000	\$950,000
Grants			
Municipality	360	\$10,000	\$3,600,000
Collection Grants			
Education	95	\$16,000	\$1,520,000
Total:			\$11,070,000

VIII. Recycling results in a significant benefit in reducing greenhouse gases and saving energy. 7- Recycling equivalents saved:

Equivalent of passenger cars not driven: 143,487 cars
Gallons of gasoline saved: 88,926,506 gallons
Barrels of oil saved: 1,821,959 barrels
Household electrical use in one year: 103,767 households

Acres of forest preserved

from deforestation: 5,464 acres
Metric tons carbon equivalents saved: 213,651 tons
Metric tons carbon dioxide saved: 1,151,413 tons

Energy saved (millions of BTU): 44,960,948 BTU (times 1,000,000)

Disposal equivalents lost:

Metric tons carbon equivalents output: 1,028,595.9 tons Metric tons carbon dioxide lost output: 3,771,458.6 tons

Energy loss: 2,459,685.8 BTU (times 1,000,000)

⁵ Economic and Community Development Websites for Tennessee Industry in 2005

⁶ Estimated infrastructure and education costs to help in the ramping up of draft rule requirements

⁷ Submitted data in ReTrac based on EPA WARM modeling